

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0606-0015

Case Title:

CES Environmental Services

Reporting Office:

Houston, TX Resident Office

Subject of Report:

Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7) on August 23, 2012

Activity Date:

August 23, 2012

Reporting Official and Date:

(b) (6), (b) (7)

Special Agent

27-AUG-2012, Signed by: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Approving Official and Date:

(b) (6), (b) (7)

Special Agent in Charge

27-AUG-2012, Approved by: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Acting Assistant Special Agent in Charge

SYNOPSIS

On August 23, 2012 a telephonic interview of (b) (6), (b) (7) was conducted.

DETAILS

On August 23, 2012 a telephonic interview of (b) (6), (b) (7) was conducted. The interview was conducted by utilizing (b) (6), work phone number listed as (b) (6), (b) (7)

The person that answered the telephone identified themselves as (b) (6), (b) (7) EPA CID SA (b) (6) advised (b) (6) that it was learned that (b) (6) had gone to Texas A&M University with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) a defendant in the case named CES. EPA CID SA (b) (6) understood that conversations may have taken place between (b) (6) and (b) (6), (b) (7) agreed to the interview and provided the following information.

(b) (6) said that (b) (6) and (b) (6), (b) (7) both attended Palestine, Texas, High School and both graduated in 1989. (b) (6) eventually attended Texas A&M University; (b) (6), (b) (7) was already in attendance. (b) (6) and (b) (6), (b) (7) had the same degree program, Bio Environmental Science. (b) (6), (b) (7) graduated in either December 1993 or May 1994, (b) (6) was not certain. (b) (6) graduated in August 1994.

(b) (6) began employment at Formosa in Point Comfort, Texas in March 1995. (b) (6) then worked for Smith County Texas Public Health District beginning in July 1996. (b) (6) then worked at TNRCC (Agent note: TNRCC is now called TCEQ) beginning in January 1998 as a Civil Inspector located in Tyler, Texas. (b) (6) then worked for Lockheed Martin in Grand Prairie, Texas beginning in March 2002. (b) (6) was laid off in January 2003. (b) (6) then worked for Texas Department of Public Safety (DPS) beginning at the DPS Academy in February 2003. After attending the DPS Academy between 2-3 weeks, (b) (6) was called to military active duty and sent to Iraq. In March of 2004 (b) (6) began employment for 2 weeks with TCEQ Region IV. In April 2004 (b) (6) began work for Dallas Area Rapid Transit as the Environmental Manager, resigning in June 2012. (b) (6) began work July 2012, as a TCEQ Criminal Investigator located in Tyler, Texas.

(b) (6) said in the past (b) (6) has conversed with (b) (6), (b) (7) at High School Reunions or in passing. None of those conversations were on the professional level. However, on March 28, 2012 (b) (6), (b) (7) called (b) (6) from phone number (b) (6), (b) (7), with a purpose on a professional level.

(b) (6), (b) (7) told (b) (6) that (b) (6) had 95 drums of Ethylene Di-Chloride (EDC). (b) (6) described EDC as a feedstock in the making of plastics. (b) (6) said that (b) (6), (b) (7) did not identify the

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.
It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0606-0015

origin of the EDC. (b) (6), (b) (7) told (b) (6), (b) (7) that the EDC had never been managed as a waste. (b) (6), (b) (7) told (b) (6), (b) (7) that (b) (6), (b) (7) would give (b) (6), (b) (7) \$10,000 if (b) (6), (b) (7) found the EDC a home.

(b) (6), (b) (7) said (b) (6), (b) (7) wanted to use (b) (6), (b) (7) Formosa relationship to sell the EDC. (b) (6), (b) (7) was not certain of the monetary value of 95 drums of EDC. (b) (6), (b) (7) said that at Formosa 95 drums of EDC would not be a significant quantity of feedstock.

(b) (6), (b) (7) advised (b) (6), (b) (7) that Formosa would probably not be interested in the 95 drums of EDC, but would make a phone call to see what they said.

(b) (6), (b) (7) called (b) (6), (b) (7) at Formosa. (b) (6), (b) (7) said that (b) (6), (b) (7) first question was the same question (b) (6), (b) (7) had asked (b) (6), (b) (7) had the EDC been managed as waste. (b) (6), (b) (7) repeated what (b) (6), (b) (7) had told him, that the EDC had not been managed as a waste. (b) (6), (b) (7) told (b) (6), (b) (7) that (b) (6), (b) (7) would call (b) (6), (b) (7) back with an answer.

(b) (6), (b) (7) called (b) (6), (b) (7) back and advised that Formosa was not interested in EDC from external sources.

(b) (6), (b) (7) called (b) (6), (b) (7) back and got voicemail. (b) (6), (b) (7) left a voice message for (b) (6), (b) (7). The message (b) (6), (b) (7) left for (b) (6), (b) (7) advised that Formosa was not interested in EDC from outside sources. (b) (6), (b) (7) has not heard from or talked to (b) (6), (b) (7) since.